Notes on Project 32.2471

P. 3, 1. 9

Why artificial?

P. 3. 11. 9-10

Do they strive for higher seriality in all commodities?

P. 4, 1. 7 up

Isn't the final product of a plant often a component or sub-assembly?

P. 5, 1. 6

Meaning of "more authoritative sources"?

P. 5, last para, 1st sent.

Simplify construction as indicated.

P. 8, 1. 11

Is line production yielding to automation? Are the two inconsistent?

Pp. 9-10

Excellent.

P. 11, 1. 6

Tool is ambiguous. Substitute technique.

Pp. 13-14

Language not clear. See text for substitute.

P. 14, next to last sentence

True but obvious for more reasons than given. Delete.

P. 15, 1. 2

Illustrative instead of orientational.

Approved For Release 2000/08/23:1714 PP62S00231A000100120009-2

P. 15, 1st full pera.

Such as. Give type of equipment if possible.

P. 15, 4 11. up

"May change over time." Delete here since separately developed on p. 16.

P. 16, end top para.

Clarify structure as shown.

P. 17, 2nd para, last word

Precluded?

P. 17, last pera, 2nd sent.

Degree of complexity and relative size not known to outside: 3?

P. 17, last line

Too broad a generalization?

P. 18, 11. 2-3

Idea good. See change in expression.

P. 18, 3rd para.

Quote Mr. K. here to pinpoint this particular statement as 's. his various other statements on the general subject.

P. 18, 3 11. up

Will appear to contradict previous sentence unless it is introduced by however.

P. 19, 1. 2

Is probability based on seriality concept alone, or on all factors taken into account?

P. 20, last para.

Check with I/GM.

P. 21, Table 1

How would production of 250 per yr. be classified? Small or large series?

Approved For Release 2000/08/23 : ÇIA-RDP62S00231A000100120009-2